



Jurnal Ekonomi, Manajemen, Akuntansi dan Keuangan Vol: 6, No 1, 2025, Page: 1-11

The Effect of Company Size, Leverage, and Profitability on Financial Performance in Food and Beverage Companies Listed on the Indonesian Stock Exchange (BEI) in 2022-2023

Erika Safitri¹, Ramadhan Harahap², Hernawaty³

1,2,3 Universitas Pembangunan Panca Budi

DOI:

https://doi.org/10.53697/emak.v6i1.2225 *Correspondence: Erika Safitri Email: <u>safitrierika214@gmail.com</u>

Received: 21-11-2024 Accepted: 12-12-2024 Published: 21-01-2025



Copyright: © 2025 by the authors. Submitted for open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license

(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4 .0/).

Abstract: The aim of this research is to examine the effect of company size measured by the natural logarithm (Ln) of total assets, leverage (DAR) profitability (ROE) on financial performance (NPM) in food and beverage companies in 2022-2023. The sample selection technique was purposive sampling, the sample consistend of 31 companies. The data analysis technique in this research is descriptive statistical analysis, classical assumption testing, multiple linear regression analysis, hypothesis testing and coefficient of determination testing, by applying software SPSS ver 25. The results of the research show that partially company size has no significant effect on financial performance, while individually (partially) leverage and profitability have a significant effect on financial performance.

Keywords: Financial Performance, Company Size, Leverage, Profitability

Introduction

Food and beverage companies are one of the manufacturing business sectors that operate in the food and beverage industry. Food and beverage companies growing rapidly in Indonesia because this country has a large population and produces high demand, so that purchasing power is high. This sub-sector is able to survive stably in various conditions, making it an attractive investment opportunity for investors. The growth of *food and beverage companies* reached 3.75% in the third quarter of 2022, greater than the same period last year, which was recorded at 3.49%. Despite the impact of the corona virus, the food and beverage sector can still grow and play a role in the development of *non*- oil and gas companies, which reached 4.88%. In the 2023 period, *food and beverage companies*, amounting to 6.55% of national GDP. Despite facing pressure due to the Covid-19 pandemic, the food and beverage sector has increased again with a growth of 4.47% in 2023 (Putu, 2023), lower than the previous quarter of 4.62%. However, the growth target for food companies in 2023 remains

optimistic, which is around 5-7%. One of the main factors for this growth is *exports* from food and beverage companies which managed to reach a value of USD 48.6 billion.

| | ANNUAL NET PROFIT | | | | |
|---------|-----------------------|------------------------|--|--|--|
| CODE | 2022 | 2023 | | | |
| COMPANY | | | | | |
| ADES | Rp. 364,972,000,000 | Rp. 395,795,000,000 | | | |
| AISA | -Rp 62,359,000,000 | Rp. 18,796,000,000 | | | |
| ALTO | -Rp 16,129,026,748 | -Rp 25,197,765,585 | | | |
| CAMP | Rp. 121,257,336,904 | Rp. 127,426,464,539 | | | |
| CHECK | Rp. 220,704,543,072 | Rp. 153,574,779,624 | | | |
| TAYS | -Rp 19,466,284,403 | Rp. 7,732,743,618 | | | |
| CPIN | Rp. 2,930,357,000,000 | Rp. 2,318,088,000,000 | | | |
| DLTA | Rp. 230,065,807,000 | Rp. 199,611,841,000 | | | |
| DMND | Rp. 382,105,000,000 | Rp. 391,078,000,000 | | | |
| FOOD | -Rp 22,068,477,089 | -Rp 20,380,916,766 | | | |
| GOOD | Rp. 521,714,035,585 | Rp. 601,467,293,291 | | | |
| HOCKEY | Rp. 90,572,477 | -Rp 3,370,825,857 | | | |
| ICBP | Rp. 5,722,194,000,000 | Rp. 8,465,123,000,000 | | | |
| FISH | Rp. 2,035,931,112 | Rp. 934,253,601 | | | |
| INDF | Rp. 9,192,569,000,000 | Rp. 11,493,733,000,000 | | | |
| MLBI | Rp. 924,906,000,000 | Rp. 1,066,467,000,000 | | | |
| MYOR | Rp. 1,970,064,538,149 | Rp. 3,244,872,091,221 | | | |
| PANI | Rp. 288,311,135,000 | Rp. 780,679,186,000 | | | |
| PCAR | Rp. 4,932,754,628 | Rp 9,204,103,933 | | | |
| PSDN | -Rp 25,834,965,112 | Rp. 143,397,423,734 | | | |
| BREAD | Rp. 432,247,722,254 | Rp. 333,300,420,963 | | | |
| SKBM | Rp. 86,635,603,936 | Rp. 2,306,736,526 | | | |
| SKLT | Rp. 74,865,302,076 | Rp. 78,089,597,225 | | | |
| STTP | Rp. 624,524,005,786 | Rp. 917,794,022,711 | | | |
| ULTJ | Rp. 965,486,000,000 | Rp. 1,186,161,000,000 | | | |

 Table 1. Food and Beverage Company Net Profit Data 2022-2023

Source: www.idx.co.id , processed in October 2024

From the table above, net profit in the *food and beverage sector companies* for the 2022-2023 period increased. Around 34% for companies that experienced an increase, namely ADES, CAMP, CPIN, GOOD, MYOR, STTP, MLBI, PANI, PCAR, PSDN. While the decrease in net profit in 2022-2023 was around 16%, namely ALTO, FOOD, HOKI. The increase in profits for *food and beverage sector companies* was due to sales that continued to increase during 2022-2023.

Methodology

The type of research applied in this study is a quantitative approach that is associative or correlational. The research applied in this study is causal research. According to Marihot Manullang and Manuntun Pakpahan (2014:19), causal research or cause and effect relationships aim to determine whether a variable that functions as an independent variable has an influence on another variable that acts as a dependent variable. Four variables applied in this study include: Financial performance (Y), Company Size (X1), *Leverage* (X2), *Profitability* (X3).

Population and Sample

Sugiyono (2018:130) population is a generalization era that includes: objects/subjects that have specific quantities and characteristics determined by researchers to be analyzed and then conclusions drawn. In this study, the population is the food and beverage sector companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX). Sample is a part of the population that is used as a source of information (data) in research. This research uses *non-probability sampling technique with purposive sampling* type , where *purposive sampling* is the selection of samples from the population based on certain reasons, either from an expert *perspective* or scientific considerations.

| No | Information | Amount |
|----|--|-----------|
| 1 | Food and beverage companies listed on the IDX for | 52 |
| | the 2022-2023 research period. | |
| 2 | Food and beverage companies are experiencing | (3) |
| | leasing in 2022-2023. | |
| 3 | Food and beverage companies using foreign | (2) |
| | currency 2022-2023. | |
| 4 | Food and beverage companies do not have complete | (5) |
| | data related to 2022-2023 research variables | |
| 5 | Food and beverage companies to generate negative | (8) |
| | profits in 2022-2023 | |
| | Food and beverage companies that meet the criteria | 34 |
| | author | (2) |
| | outiler | (3) |
| | Total Research Sample | 31x2 = 62 |

Table 2. The criteria are determined by the researcher as follows:

Source: Processed secondary data, 2024

| NO | CODE | COMPANY NAME |
|----|--------|--|
| 1 | ADES | PT Akasha Wira International Tbk |
| 2 | AALI | PT Astra Agro Lestari Tbk |
| 3 | BUDI | PT Budi Starch Sweetener Tbk |
| 4 | BISS | PT Bisi International Tbk |
| 5 | CMRY | PT Cisarua Mountain Dairy Tbk |
| 6 | CRAB | PT Toba Sumiri Industries Tbk |
| 7 | CHECK | PT Wilmar Cahaya Indonesia Tbk |
| 8 | CPIN | PT Sharoen Pokhpand Indonesia Tbk |
| 9 | DSNG | PT. |
| 10 | DMND | PT Diamond Food Indonesia Tbk |
| 11 | GOOD | PT Garuda Food Putra Putri Jaya Tbk |
| 12 | IBOS | PT Indo Bogas Sukses Raya Tbk |
| 13 | ICBP | PT Indofood CPB Sukses Makmur Tbk |
| 14 | FISH | PT Era Mandiri Cemerlang Tbk |
| 15 | INDF | PT Indofood Sukses Makmur Tbk |
| 16 | IPPE | PT Indo Pureco Pratama Tbk |
| 17 | JPFA | PT Japfa Comfeed Indonesia Tbk |
| 18 | CHEESE | PT. |
| 19 | LSIP | PT London Sumatra Indonesia Plantation Tbk |
| 20 | MYOR | PT. |
| 21 | RICE | PT Wahana Inti Makmur Tbk |
| 22 | PCAR | PT. |
| 23 | PSGO | PT Palma Serasih Tbk |
| 24 | BREAD | PT Nippon Indosari Corpindo Tbk |
| 25 | SKLT | PT. |
| 26 | SKBM | PT. |
| 27 | STTP | PT Siantar Top Tbk |
| 28 | TRGU | PT Cerestar Indonesia Tbk |
| 29 | TBLA | PT. |
| 30 | TGKA | PT. |
| 31 | ULTJ | PT Ultrajaya Milk Industry & Trading |
| | | Company Tbk |

Table 3. the following is a list of companies that meet the criteria used as research samples:

Source: Processed Secondary Data, 2024

Data Analysis Techniques

The data used in this study is indirect observation carried out by downloading the financial reports of *food and beverage companies* listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) for 2022-2023 from each company website (<u>www.idx.co.id</u>).

Result and Discussion Descriptive Statistical Analysis

The process of measuring descriptive statistical analysis needs to be carried out in order to obtain an overview of data such as the average (*mean*), maximum value, minimum value and standard deviation of each variable. The following are the results of descriptive statistical analysis:

| | Ν | Mini | Maxi | Mean | Std. |
|-----------------------|----|--------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|
| | | mum | mum | | Deviation |
| Financial performance | 62 | .00 | 283.00 | 85.4677 | 72.55043 |
| Company Size | 62 | 24975. 00 | 32860. 00 | 28961.37 10 | 1952.2175 8 |
| Leverage | 62 | 28.00 | 711.00 | 341.7742 | 188.00361 |
| Profitability | 62 | 2.00 | 273.00 | 111.8387 | 67.35450 |
| Valid N (listwise) | 62 | | | | |

Source: SPSS Output Ver 25, 2024

Classical Assumption Test Kolmogorov-Smirnov Normality Test

| Table 5. Kolmogrov-Smirnov (KS) | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test | | | | | |
| | | Unstandardized | | | |
| | | Residual | | | |
| Ν | | 62 | | | |
| Normal Parameters ^{a,b} | Mean | .0000000 | | | |
| | Std. | 46.13823791 | | | |
| | Deviation | | | | |
| Most Extreme Differences | Absolute | .086 | | | |
| | Positive | .086 | | | |
| | Negative | 065 | | | |
| Test Statistics | | .086 | | | |
| Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) | | .200 ^{c,d} | | | |
| a. Test distribution is Normal. | | | | | |
| b. Calculated from data. | | | | | |
| c. Lilliefors Significance Correction. | | | | | |
| d. This is a lower bound of the | true signif <mark>ica</mark> r | nce. | | | |
| | | | | | |

Source: SPSS Output Ver 25, 2024

The results of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov normality test show that the Asymp. Sig. value is 0.200 > 0.05, therefore, it can be concluded that the regression model in this study is normally distributed.

Histogram Graph Normality Test



From the image above, it can be concluded that the histogram graph shows results in the form of a normal, symmetrical distribution pattern and does not deviate to the right or left.

Normality Test of Normal Probability Plot Graph



Figure 2. Normality Test of PP-Plot Normal Graph Source: SPSS Output Ver 25, 2024

Based on the image above, the PP-Plot graph shows that the data (points) are spread around the diagonal line, following the direction of the diagonal line, so it can be concluded that the regression model in this study is normally distributed.

Autocorrelation Test

| Table 6. Autocorrelation Test | | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| Runs Test | | | | |
| | Unstandardized | | | |
| | Residual | | | |
| Test Value ^a | -7.66110 | | | |
| Cases < Test Value | 31 | | | |
| Cases >= Test Value | 31 | | | |

| Runs Test | |
|------------------------|----------------|
| | Unstandardized |
| | Residual |
| Total Cases | 62 |
| Number of Runs | 34 |
| Z | .512 |
| Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) | .608 |
| a. Median | |

Based on the table above, the test value ^a shown is -7.66110 with Asymp. Sig is 0.608

Table 7. Multicollinearity Test

Multicollinearity Test

| Coeffici | ents ^a | | | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------------|-------|--|--|
| Model | | Collinearity Statistics | | | |
| | | Tolerance | VIF | | |
| 1 | (Constant | | | | |
| |) | | | | |
| | Company | .811 | 1.233 | | |
| | Size | | | | |
| | Leverage | .888 | 1.126 | | |
| | Profitabil | .884 | 1.131 | | |
| | ity | | | | |
| a. Dependent Variable: Financial Performance | | | | | |
| Source: SPSS Output Ver 25, (2024) | | | | | |

> 0.05, it can be concluded that the regression model is free from autocorrelation.

Based on the table above, it is concluded that this study does not have multicollinearity with a company size tolerance value of 0.811 > 0.10 and a VIF value of 1.233 < 10, a leverage tolerance value of 0.888 > 0.10, a VIF value of 1.126 < 10, and a profitability *tolerance value of* 0.884 > 0.10, a VIF value of 1.131 < 10.

Heteroscedasticity Test



Figure 3. Heteroscedasticity Test Source: SPSS Output Ver 25, (2024)

Based on the image above, the scatterplot graph is randomly spread above and below zero on the Y axis and the points do not form a particular pattern, so it can be concluded that the regression equation model does not experience heteroscedasticity.

Multiple Linear Regression Analysis

The purpose of multiple linear regression is to determine the effect of independent variables on dependent variables. Here is the multiple linear regression model: $Y1 = \alpha 0 + \alpha_1 X_1 + \alpha_2 X_2 + \alpha_3 X_3 + \varepsilon$

| Mo | del | Unstanda | rdized |
|--------------|---------------|----------|------------|
| Coefficients | | nts | |
| | | В | Std. Error |
| 1 | (Constant) | -99.277 | 93,841 |
| | Company Size | .006 | .003 |
| | Leverage | 184 | .034 |
| | Profitability | .588 | .096 |

Table 8. Multiple Linear Regression Analysis Coefficients a

Source: SPSS Output Ver 25, (2024)

Based on the table above, the multiple linear regression model is obtained as follows: $Y = -99.277 + 0.006X_1 - 0.184X_2 + 0.588X_3 + \varepsilon$

m .

T 11 0 C'

Hypothesis Testing F Test (Simultaneous)

| | Table 9. Simultaneous Tests | | | | | |
|------|--|----------------|--------------|----------------|----------|-------------------|
| AN | NOVA a | | | | | |
| Mo | odel | Sum of | df | Mean | F | Sig. |
| | | Squares | | Square | | |
| 1 | Regressi | 191224.47 | 3 | 63741.493 | 28,471 | .000 ^b |
| | on | 9 | | | | |
| | Residual | 129852.95 | 58 | 2238.844 | | |
| | | 7 | | | | |
| | Total | 321077.43 | 61 | | | |
| | | 5 | | | | |
| a. I | a. Dependent Variable: Financial Performance | | | | | |
| b.] | Predictors: (| Constant), Pro | ofitability, | Leverage, Co | mpany Si | ze |
| | | Source: SPS | SS Output | Ver 25, (2024) | | |

It can be seen that the calculated F value is 28.471 with the F table value of 2.76, therefore the calculated F > F table while the significance level is 0.000 which means < 0.05, it can be concluded that the variables of company size, *leverage* and *profitability* have a simultaneous or concurrent effect on financial performance.

T-Test (Partial)

| | Tuble 10. Turtiar | | |
|-------|-------------------|--------|------|
| Model | | t | Sig. |
| | | | C |
| 1 | (Constant) | -1.058 | .294 |
| | Company Size | 1,823 | .073 |
| | Leverage | -5.388 | .000 |
| | Profitability | 6.152 | .000 |

Source: SPSS Output Ver 25, (2024)

. . . .

Company size with a calculated t value of 1.823 < t table 2.00172 and a significance of 0.073 > 0.05, it can be concluded that the company size variable has no influence and is not significant on financial performance. *Leverage* value t count -5.388 < t table 2.00172 and its significance 0.000 < 0.05 it can be concluded that *leverage has a significant effect on financial performance. The t* count value *of profitability* 6.152 > t table 2.00172 and its significance 0.000 < 0.05 it can be concluded that effect on financial performance. The t count value *of profitability* 6.152 > t table 2.00172 and its significance 0.000 < 0.05 it can be concluded that profitability has a significant effect on financial performance.

Coefficient of Determination Test

1 1 1 0

Table 11. Test of Determination Coefficient

| Model S | ummai | су в | | | | |
|--|-------|--------|----------|---|-------------------|---------|
| Model | R | R | Adjusted | R | Std. Error of the | Durbin- |
| | | Square | Square | | Estimate | Watson |
| 1 | .772 | .596 | .575 | | 47.31643 | 2.021 |
| | а | | | | | |
| a. Predictors: (Constant), Profitability, Leverage, Company Size | | | | | | |
| b. Dependent Variable: Financial Performance | | | | | | |
| Comment CDCC Output Mar 25 (2024) | | | | | | |

Source: SPSS Output Ver 25, (2024)

From the table above, it is concluded that the *R* square value is 0.596. Adjuster *R* Square 0.575 shows that the variables of company size, *leverage* and *profitability* are able to explain financial performance by 57.5% while the remaining 42.5% is explained by other variables.

Discussion

The effect of company size on financial performance

calculated t value < t table was 1.823 < 2.00172, while the significance value of company size was 0.073, meaning > 0.05 it can be concluded that company size does not have a significant influence on financial performance.

The effect of *leverage* on financial performance

Based on the research results, *leverage* has a significant influence on financial performance with a regression coefficient value of -0.184 and a significant value of 0.000 < 0.05.

The effect of *profitability* on financial performance

Based on the research results, *profitability* has a significant influence on financial performance, this is shown by the test results with a significant value of 0.000 < 0.05.

The effect of company size, leverage, and profitability on financial performance

calculated F value is 28,471 with a significance of 0.000 which means < 0.05, this shows that company size, *leverage* and *profitability* have a significant effect on financial performance.

Conclusion

- 1. Simultaneously (at the same time) company size, debt ratio (*leverage*) and *profitability* influence financial performance.
- 2. Company size does not have a significant effect on financial performance based on the results of partial analysis which shows a significance level of 0.073 > 0.05
- 3. *Leverage* partially (individually) has a significant effect on financial performance based on the results of partial analysis which shows a significance level of 0.000 < 0.05.
- 4. *Profitability* partially (individually) has a significant effect on financial performance based on partial analysis which shows a significance value of 0.000 < 0.05.

References

- Astutuik, E. P. 2019. Pengaruh Rasio Likuiditas, Solvabilitas, Dan Profitabilitas Terhadap Kinerja Keuangan Perusahaan Manufaktur. *Jurnal Media Ekonomi Dan Manajemen*. 2(3), 108-118.
- Citarayani, I. Saputro, R.A. (2023). Pengaruh Current Ratio, Debt to Equity Ratio, Dan Perputaran Aktiva Tetap Terhadap Return On Asset. Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi dan Keuangan. Volume 5, Number 7. 2622-2191.
- Ferry, M.G., dan Jones. 2014. Determinant of financial structure: A new methodological approach. Journal of Finance, 01 XXXXIV(3)
- Harahap, R. (2019). Pengaruh Profitabilitas, Growth Opportunity Dan Struktur Modal Terhadap Nilai Perusahaan Pada Perusahaan Manufaktur Yang Terdaftar Di Bursa Efek Indonesia Pada Tahun 2013-2017. Jurnal Manajemen Tools: 2088-3145.
- Harahap, S. Sofyan 2009. Analisis Kritis Atas Laporan Keuangan. Jakarta: Grasindo.
- Henny & Susanto, L. (2019). Faktor-faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Profitabilitas Pada Perusahaan Manufaktur. Jurnal Multiparadigma. Akuntansi. Volume 1 No.2. 390-398.
- Kamaludin, & Indriani. 2012. *Manajemen Keuangan*, Edisi Revisi. Bandung: CV. Bandar Maju.
- Manullang dan Pakpahan, M. 2014. *Metodologi Penelitian. Proses Penelitian Praktis.* Bandung : Citapustaka Media

- Orianti Y. (2009). Laporan Keuangan Sebagai Alat Untuk Menilai Kinerja Keuangan. Jurnal Ekonomi Bisnis. 206-213.
- Putu, 2023. Analisis Kinerja Keuangan Bank Bca Konvesional Dan Bank Bca Syariah Akibat Dampak Pandemi Covid-19. Jurnalmanajemenbisnis (Jmb), 33. ISSN: 2622-8351(Online).
- Saputra, A., Lukman, L., Indriani, W. Pengaruh likuiditas, ukuran perusahaan, profitabilitas dan financial distress terhadap nilai perusahaan. JJMM 1 (1) 1-7.
- Sherman, Rick. 2015. Business Intelligence Guidebo: From Data Integration Analytics. Inggris: Penerbit ELSEVIER
- Spence, M. (1973). Job Market Signaling. The Quarterly Journal Of Economis, 87 (3), 355-374.
- Surya Sanjaya, M. F. (2018). Analisis Profitabilitas Dalam Menilai Kinerja Keuangan Pada PT. Taspen (Persero) Medan. KITABAH: Volume 2. No. 2 Juli – Desember 2018.
- Sugiyono. 2018. *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, dan R&D,* penerbit Alfabeta, Bandung.