



Standardization and Adaptation In International Marketing: A Conceptual Review and Intergrative Framework

Stefani Irene Angmalisang*, Tatia Putri Panese, Maribell Kezia Zachawerus, Abdilah Makausi, Jonathan Kaeng, Bayula Sabuda, Yusmadi Goan Damopolii, Febby Aulia Pitoy, Nickstel Jeremias Assa, Dwi Revani Patra

Universitas Negeri Manado

DOI:

<https://doi.org/10.53697/emak.v7i1.3208>

*Correspondence: Stefani Irene Angmalisang

Email: stefaniangmalisang@unima.ac.id

Received: 22-11-2025

Accepted: 22-12-2025

Published: 22-01-2026



Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. Submitted for open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Abstract: Global marketing has long faced the strategic challenge of balancing global brand consistency with the need for local market responsiveness. This study aims to conceptually explore how international firms integrate standardization and adaptation strategies within the ongoing digital transformation era. Using a qualitative conceptual review approach, ten peer-reviewed articles published between 2020 and 2025 were analyzed to identify dominant strategic patterns and emerging hybrid models. The findings reveal a growing tendency among firms to adopt hybrid strategies that align global efficiency with local relevance. Digital culture, algorithmic personalization, and cross-platform engagement emerged as key mediating factors influencing this integration. The study concludes that hybrid strategies enable firms to maintain a unified global identity while achieving cultural resonance in diverse digital environments. Theoretically, this paper enriches the standardization–adaptation discourse by highlighting digitalization as a moderating factor, while practically offering insights for global marketers seeking to optimize scalability and authenticity across international markets.

Keywords: International Marketing, Standardization, Adaptation, Digital Transformation, Hybrid Strategy

Introduction

In recent years, the global business environment has experienced a profound transformation driven by rapid digitalization and deepening economic globalization. According to the World Trade Organization (2024), international trade volume has grown by an average of 5.3% annually, largely fueled by the expansion of cross-border commerce and digital technology adoption. Similarly, UNCTAD (2024) reported that more than 60% of global firms now engage in digital cross-border marketing, indicating that a firm's competitiveness depends not only on innovation but also on its ability to manage strategies across cultural and geographic boundaries.

In Southeast Asia, particularly Indonesia, the digital economy reached an estimated value of USD 90 billion in 2024 (Google & Temasek, 2024). This growth reinforces Indonesia's potential as a major digital hub but also reveals the need for marketing strategies that accommodate its diverse cultural and behavioral contexts. However, Bank Indonesia (2024) observed that many firms still struggle to align global marketing

frameworks with local consumer preferences, underscoring a persistent gap between global standardization and local adaptation.

This strategic tension reflects the long-standing debate within international marketing scholarship. The standardization approach (Levitt, 1983) (Theodosiou & Leonidou, 2003) advocates global uniformity for efficiency and brand consistency, whereas the adaptation perspective (Cateora et al, 2020) emphasizes responsiveness to cultural and contextual differences. Despite extensive research, consensus remains elusive. For instance, Kim and Lee (2021) found that standardization benefits technology-driven industries, while Nguyen and Park (2023) demonstrated that adaptation enhances performance in culture-sensitive sectors. In contrast, Zou et al. (2022) proposed a hybrid approach that balances global efficiency with local flexibility, revealing the need for contextualized integration.

The digital era has further complicated this paradigm. Platforms such as TikTok Shop, Shopee, and Tokopedia illustrate how standardized global systems are locally customized through algorithmic personalization and culturally nuanced engagement. Traditional models, developed before the digital transformation, often fail to capture this evolving complexity. Rahman and Wang (2023) argued that many global firms underperform in emerging markets due to limited awareness of local digital cultures, whereas Li and Chen (2024) showed that local brands thrive when they embed cultural values within digital content.

Building upon these insights, this study aims to re-examine the interplay between standardization and adaptation in the context of digital-era international marketing. Specifically, it seeks to propose an integrative conceptual framework that explains how digitalization mediates the balance between global consistency and local relevance. By reviewing key literature published from 2019 to 2025, this study contributes to both theoretical refinement and practical guidance for firms navigating the dual imperatives of scalability and cultural resonance in Indonesia's growing digital economy.

Methodology

This study employed a descriptive qualitative approach through a conceptual literature review design aimed at synthesizing theoretical and empirical insights on the standardization adaptation paradigm in international marketing within the context of digital transformation. The research analyzed how global firms integrate standardization and adaptation strategies and identified emerging hybrid models that balance global efficiency and local responsiveness.

The population of this research consisted of peer-reviewed journal articles, institutional reports, and theoretical papers discussing international marketing strategies in the digital era. A purposive sampling technique was applied to ensure the inclusion of relevant, credible, and recent sources. The selection criteria included relevance to international marketing, digital transformation, and global local integration; scholarly credibility as indicated by publication in indexed journals or recognized institutional repositories; and recency, emphasizing works published between 2019 and 2025 to capture the latest theoretical and practical developments.

Data for this study were entirely derived from secondary sources, and therefore no human or animal participants were involved, eliminating the need for ethical approval.

Nevertheless, the study complied with academic integrity principles, properly citing all data and references. The data were collected from reputable academic databases such as Scopus, ScienceDirect, Taylor & Francis Online, and Google Scholar using relevant keywords, including “international marketing strategy,” “standardization versus adaptation,” “digital globalization,” and “cross cultural marketing.”

The data were analyzed using qualitative content analysis combined with thematic synthesis. The process involved classifying selected literature based on strategic focus—standardization, adaptation, or hybrid/global-local approaches followed by identifying recurring theoretical constructs, conceptual relationships, and emerging trends. The analysis also mapped the chronological evolution of the standardization adaptation debate in the digital era and synthesized key insights to develop an integrative conceptual framework that connects global consistency with local relevance in digital marketing contexts. This systematic analytical process ensured theoretical rigor, conceptual clarity, and practical relevance for multinational and domestic firms operating in globalized digital markets.

Result and Discussion

The results of this conceptual literature review reveal that international marketing strategies in the digital era have progressively evolved toward a hybrid orientation that integrates both standardization and adaptation. The synthesis of recent literature shows a clear trend where global firms no longer rely exclusively on one strategic direction. Instead, they adopt flexible frameworks that align global brand consistency with local responsiveness.

The review identifies that digital transformation plays a pivotal role in reshaping how multinational companies manage their marketing approaches. The increasing use of digital tools, data analytics, and algorithmic personalization enables brands to maintain standardized identities while tailoring communication, content, and experiences to local audiences. This transformation reflects a strategic shift toward data-driven hybridization, where global efficiency and local authenticity coexist within the same marketing ecosystem.

The findings also reaffirm that strategic flexibility is now a key determinant of international marketing success. Firms that cling rigidly to pure standardization risk losing cultural relevance, while those that overemphasize adaptation face inefficiencies and brand fragmentation. Therefore, a balanced integration of both strategies mediated by digital technology has emerged as the dominant approach among contemporary global firms.

Discussion

From a theoretical perspective, these findings extend the Integration–Responsiveness Paradigm by demonstrating how digital transformation intensifies the interdependence between global standardization and local adaptation. Real-time feedback systems, algorithmic curation, and cross-platform engagement foster a continuous exchange between global strategies and local insights, resulting in dynamic, context-sensitive marketing actions.

Digital culture functions as a moderating factor in this global local equilibrium. Adaptation enhances emotional connection, consumer trust, and cultural resonance through localized symbols and narratives. Meanwhile, standardization remains essential for maintaining operational efficiency and consistent brand identity across markets. When managed effectively, the balance between both dimensions allows firms to achieve scalability without compromising authenticity.

The rise of hybrid strategies, as emphasized in recent works (Zou et al., 2022; Alon et al., 2021; Park & Lee, 2022), signifies a paradigm shift in international marketing thought. Hybridization integrates global efficiency with local sensitivity, facilitated by digital platforms and advanced analytics that enable micro-segmentation and personalized engagement.

Practically, this study suggests that companies pursuing hybrid strategies are better positioned to strengthen brand equity and long-term consumer loyalty, particularly in culturally diverse and digitally interconnected markets. Theoretically, it contributes by positioning digitalization as a mediating variable that redefines the traditional boundaries between standardization and adaptation within global marketing frameworks.

Nevertheless, this research acknowledges its limitations. Because the analysis relies on secondary sources, it might not fully capture market-specific nuances or behavioral variations. Future studies are encouraged to validate the proposed hybrid framework empirically, using quantitative or mixed-method approaches especially within emerging digital markets in Southeast Asia to further explore how technological, cultural, and behavioral dynamics interact in shaping effective international marketing strategies.

Conclusion

This study concludes that international marketing in the digital era increasingly adopts a hybrid strategic orientation that integrates global standardization and local adaptation. This synthesis enables firms to achieve operational efficiency while maintaining cultural relevance and responsiveness in diverse markets.

Digitalization emerges as a central mechanism that bridges the global–local divide, transforming how marketing strategies are formulated, executed, and optimized. By leveraging digital tools and embracing local digital cultures, firms can strengthen brand resonance and sustain consumer engagement without compromising consistency.

Overall, this conceptual review advances theoretical understanding of the standardization–adaptation paradigm within digital marketing contexts. Future studies are encouraged to empirically validate the proposed hybrid framework across industries and regions, particularly within emerging digital economies such as Southeast Asia.

References

- Akgün, A. E., Keskin, H., & Ayar, H. (2014). Standardization and adaptation of international marketing mix activities: A case study. *Procedia – Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 148, 194–203. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1877-0428\(14\)05129-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1877-0428(14)05129-5)
- Alon, I., Bandyopadhyay, S., & Barkema, H. (2021). *Global-local marketing strategies in emerging markets*. *Journal of International Marketing*, 29(2), 45–63.
- Bank Indonesia. (2024). *Laporan transformasi digital ekonomi Indonesia*. Jakarta: Bank Indonesia.
- Cateora, P. R., Gilly, M. C., & Graham, J. L. (2020). *International marketing* (18th ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Chea, A. C., & Burroughs, T. A. (2023). *Global marketing: Emerging market economies' challenges, opportunities, and effective marketing strategy for success*. *Business and Economic Research*, 13(4), 103–124. <https://doi.org/10.5296/ber.v13i4.21482>
- Chen, Y., & Zhao, W. (2024). *Digital convergence and localization in global e-commerce platforms*. *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics*, 36(2), 211–229. <https://doi.org/10.1108/APJML-07-2023-0458>
- Fregidou-Malama, M., Chowdhury, E. H., & Hyder, A. S. (2023). *International marketing strategy of emerging market firms: The case of Bangladesh*. *Journal of Asia Business Studies*, 17(4), 804–818. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JABS-04-2022-0216>
- Google & Temasek. (2024). *e-Conomy SEA report 2024*. Singapore: Google–Temasek.
- James, E. E., Inyang, I. B., & Eze, F. J. (2024). *Standardization versus adaptation of marketing mix in international markets: A systematic literature review*. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 22(2), 1191–1211. <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.22.2.1470>
- Kim, S., & Lee, J. (2021). *Standardization effectiveness in global tech products*. *International Journal of Marketing Studies*, 13(2), 50–62.
- Levitt, T. (1983). *The globalization of markets*. *Harvard Business Review*, 61(3), 92–102.
- Nguyen, T., & Park, J. (2023). *Cultural adaptation in food and cosmetic industries*. *Asian Journal of Business Research*, 14(1), 35–48.
- Papadopoulou, C., & Hultman, M. (2024). *On the (in)effectiveness of standardized versus adapted international promotion strategies: Evidence from multinational firms*. *International Marketing Review*, 41(3), 345–367. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IMR-05-2023-0168>
- Park, S., & Lee, K. (2022). *Balancing global brand consistency and local cultural resonance in Asian markets*. *Journal of Business Research*, 149, 231–244. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.05.018>
- Poulis, K. (2024). *Standardization and adaptation as a co-constituted process: Toward relational fit in international marketing strategies*. *Journal of International Marketing*, 32(1), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1069031X231212414>
- Rahman, A., & Wang, L. (2023). *Digital culture and marketing strategies in emerging markets*. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 54(1), 40–55.
- Statista. (2025). *Global e-commerce market value 2025*. Retrieved from <https://www.statista.com>

- Theodosiou, M., & Leonidou, L. C. (2020). *Standardization vs. adaptation in international marketing*. *Journal of World Business*, 55(1), 42–53.
- Vrontis, D., & Thrassou, A. (2007). *Adaptation vs. standardisation in international marketing – the country-of-origin effect*. *Innovative Marketing*, 3(4).
- Zou, S., Menguc, B., & Katsikeas, C. S. (2022). *Hybrid strategy in cross-cultural marketing*. *International Marketing Review*, 39(4), 18–34.